

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,991	10/13/2005	Yoshiaki Sato	2660.0010C (SUZ0022-US)	5832	
92270 Edell Shapiro	7590 12/21/201 & Finnan LLC	EXAMINER			
1901 Research		BACHMAN, LINDSEY MICHELE			
Suite 400 Rockville, MD	20850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
rioenvine, mi	20000		3734		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/552,991	SATO, YOSHIAKI				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LINDSEY BACHMAN	3734				

	LINDSEY BACHMAN	3734					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it 	eriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.186(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed was the filed with the filed was t	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	itnin the time period set forth in 37	SFR 41.37(a).					
a. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 							
non-allowable claim(s).							
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.5.8 and 9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/TODD E. MANAHAN/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3776	/L. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Claim 1, Applicant argues that tube 25 of Just 992 is not stretchable. Examiner agrees with Applicant's assessment, however, the claim language states that the hollow, tight fitting band is stretchable, not necessarily the which is reclared as a part of the band. Since at least part of the band (which the rejection identifies as elements 25 AND 30) is stretchable (since element 30 is identified as being stretchable).

Regarding Claim 5, Applicant argues that materials 102 and 104 of Yavnai'825 are air-tight volumes and air does not move into or out of materials 102 and 104. The rejection clearly states that the band 100 is made up of a tube (comprised of sheets of material 102 and 104 make up to the inner and outer layers of the band and are secured as described in paragraphs [0086], [0087], [0088] to create an inflatable object. Paragraph [0086] elearly states that the object created by inner and outer sheets 102, 104 and secured as described in paragraph [0086], [0087] are inflatable, as required by Claim 5. In light of this, it is not clear to Examiner how Yavnai'825 is not relevant to Claim 5.